

that recite material differences” as required under MPEP § 806.05(e), Process and Apparatus for Its Practice--Distinctness. Moreover, Applicant has reviewed Class 82, subclass 1.3, without success, for any mention or suggestion of a “security device” or “fashion ornament” which could be machined using the method of claim 27.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons given above, Applicant elects with traverse the Examiner’s Group II, claims 27-38. Applicant respectfully submits that this restriction requirement does not meet the standard of MPEP § 806.05(f) for a process of making and product made, as improperly relied on by the Examiner, or the proper standard of MPEP § 806.05(e) for a process and apparatus for its practice.

In the event that any additional information is deemed necessary, Applicant’s attorneys are available to participate in a telephone conference with the Examiner on the number given below. Applicant believes that no fee is needed beyond the one-month extension fee included herewith. In the event that any additional fee is required, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account Number 02-4377.

Respectfully submitted,



Gary M., Butter
PTO Reg. No. 33,841
(212) 408-2546

David Loretto
PTO Reg. No. 44,374
(212) 408-2584

Attorneys for Applicant

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112